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# ABSTRACT

To introduce the initial design of the Term Project for the EE464 Static Power Conversion II course, our group, The Isolated Ones, has compiled this simulation report. The primary objective of the term project is to create an isolated DC-DC battery charger. This report includes specified requirements, topology selection, simulation results, component selection and magnetic design.

# INTRODUCTION & SPECIFICATIONS

In this project, we are going to create isolated battery charger system from a DC source. This entails designing an DC-to-DC power converter circuit with the necessary control mechanisms. Since DC sources are not constant, we need to design a system that gives the same output voltage while the input voltage is changing. Design specifications are given below:

* Input Voltage: 20-40 V DC
* Output Voltage: 12 V
* Output Power: 60 W
* Output Voltage Peak-to-Peak Ripple: %3
* Line Regulation: %3
* Load Regulation: %3

# Topology Selection

In this section, we are going to compare three topologies, which are flyback converter, forward converter, and push-pull converter to choose which topology we are going to use.

Comparison of the three converter topologies according to five different criteria is shown in Table 1. According to this table, even though the efficiency and voltage ripple of the flyback converter are worse compared to the other topologies, we chose the flyback converter topology because we won't be working with high power applications, and its lower cost and complexity are more important to us.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Efficiency** | **Output Ripple** | **Power Range** | **Complexity** | **Cost** |
| **Flyback Converter** | Moderate efficiency | Higher output ripple | Low to medium power | Least Complex | Cheapest |
| **Forward Converter** | Better efficiency | Lowest output ripple | Medium to high power | Medium Complexity | Medium |
| **Push-Pull Converter** | Can also have good efficiency | Moderate output ripple | Medium power | Most Complex | Most Expensive |

Table 1: Topology Comparison

# ANALYTICAL CALCULATIONS

From the previous experiences, we agreed that the most difficult part of this project to choose suitable control unit and control the switches. Due to that we fist choose a controller UC3845. We also find another controller LT3757, but it is much more expensive, so we decided to do calculations according to UC3845.

UC3845 can supply a duty cycle of 0.5 maximum, which gives us an upper boundary to choose our duty cycle. Hence, we have chosen a duty cycle range of 0.2 to 0.4. In order to ensure that the controller gives a duty cycle in this range, our turns ratio should be 1:1. This can be calculated as follows:

Due to the diode between the secondary side and the load, assume secondary voltage as 12.75V (= 12.75V) so that our output voltage is around 12V. Moreover, it is known that the voltage equation of a Flyback converter is as follows:

Where = 12.75 V, = 20-40 V. When = 20 and 𝑁2/ 𝑁1 ratio is taken as 1, is found as around 0.39. Furthermore, when = 40 and 𝑁2/𝑁1 ratio is taken as 1, is found as around 0.24.

For the transformer of the Flyback converter, we have selected an E-core with a gap of 1mm. The datasheet of the core can be found in the appendix section. An E-core is selected since the leakage flux in E cores is smaller than toroid cores due to their shape. Moreover, due to the existence of coil formers for each and every E-core, it is much easier to wind the coils to the core. Also, since we are to design a Flyback converter, the energy should be stored in the core first to transfer the energy to the secondary side. Hence, an E-core with a gap is required for us to implement a better solution to store the energy in the core when the switch is ON. Another reason for us to select this core is that it has a high permeability, even with the air gap, and it does not have a high volume, with a volume of 11.5 cm3, so that the core will not take up so much space in our final design. In order to find the required number of turns for both the primary and the secondary, which are the same for our design, we need to determine the magnetizing inductance value first. By using the magnetizing inductance formula in the Application Note, AN4137, Design Guidelines for Off-line Flyback Converters Using FPS [1], the magnetizing inductance can be calculated as follows:

=

where is the input power, which is selected as 72W to ensure an efficiency more that 80%, is the ripple factor, which is defined as in the Figure 1 and selected as 0.35, is the switching frequency, which is selected as 100kHz.
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Figure 1. MOSFET Drain Current and Ripple Factor

After putting the values into the equation, is found to be 18.55µH. Then, to find the required number of turns of the primary, the value of the core is used and the required number of turns is found by using the following formula:

Where value of the core we have selected having a 1mm of air gap is 196 nH/. Hence, after making the calculation, the required number of turns are found as 10, approximately. Moreover, by limiting the Bmax value, the minimum required number of turns can also be calculated to check whether the previously calculated number of turns is valid or not by using the formula present in [2] as follows:

where Bsat is selected as 0.2T, and effective area of the core we have selected is 125 mm2. When putting all the numbers to the equation above, it is found that minimum required number of turns should be larger than 6.22 turns (Nmin > 6.22 turns). This concludes that 10 turns in the primary and the secondary meet the requirement of minimum turns and can be used further in this design.

# SIMULATION RESULTS

# COMPONENT SELECTION

After the simulation results, we have an idea about what the voltage and current limitations of the components would be. We decided to use the following components after an extensive search.
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# APPENDIX

Link to core datasheet:

[Ferrites and accessories - ETD 39/20/13 - Core and accessories (tdk.com)](https://www.tdk-electronics.tdk.com/inf/80/db/fer/etd_39_20_13.pdf)

Link to core material datasheet:

[Ferrites and accessories - SIFERRIT material N87 (tdk.com)](https://www.tdk-electronics.tdk.com/download/528882/990c299b916e9f3eb7e44ad563b7f0b9/pdf-n87.pdf)